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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with non-zero identity and $M$ be a unital $R$-module. Then $R$-submodule $N$ of $M$ is called weakly pure, if for every Boolean ideal $I$ of $R, I N=N \cap I M$. This paper is devoted to investigate some of the properties of weakly pure submodules of multiplication modules.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings will be commutative with non-zero identity and have at least one non-zero Boolean ideal and all modules will be unitary. Pure submodules of multiplication modules have been investigated by Ali and Smith [1] and others.

A submodule $N$ of $R$-module $M$ is called pure, if $I N=N \cap I M$, for every ideal $I$ of $R$. The aim of this paper is to prove for weakly pure submodules some of the results given in [1] for pure submodules of multiplication modules.
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Now, we define the concepts that we will use. If $R$ is a ring and $N$ is a submodule of an $R$-module $M$, the ideal $\{r \in R: r M \subseteq N\}$ will be denoted by $(N: M)$. Then $(0: M)$ is the annihilator of $M$. An $R$-module $M$ is called a multiplication module, if for every submodule $N$ of $M$, there exists an ideal $I$ of $R$ such that $N=I M$. In this case, $I$ is called presentation ideal of $N$. If $N$ is an $R$-submodule of multiplication $R$-module $M$, then $N=(N: M) M$. Also $N=\operatorname{ann}(M / N) M$.

## 2. Main Results

Definition 1. Let $M$ be a module over a ring $R$. A proper submodule $N$ of $M$ is said to be prime (weakly prime), if $r m \in N(0 \neq r m \in N)$ for $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ implies that either $m \in N$ or $r \in(N: M)$.

Definition 2. An ideal $I$ of $R$ is called Boolean ideal, if every element of $I$ is idempotent.

Definition 3. An $R$-submodule $N$ of $R$-module $M$ is called weakly pure, if $I N=N \cap I M$, for every Boolean ideal $I$ of $R$.

Definition 4. An $R$-module $M$ is called prime, if $(0: M)=(0: N)$ for every submodule $N$ of $M$.

Theorem 1. If $I$ is a Boolean ideal of $R$, then $I J=I \cap J$ for every ideal $J$ of $R$.

Proof. Assume that $I$ is a Boolean ideal of $R$ and $r \in I \cap J$. Then $r=r^{2} \in I$ and $r=r^{2} \in J$. So $r=r^{2} \in I J$, also $I J \subseteq I \cap J$, hence $I J=I \bigcap J$, for every ideal $J$ of $R$.

Theorem 2. Let $M$ be a prime multiplication faithful $R$-module and $N$ be a proper weakly pure submodule of M. Then, the following hold:
(i) The ideal ( $N: M$ ) is Boolean.
(ii) The ideal $(N: M)$ is idempotent.

Proof. (i) Assume that $r \in(N: M)=\operatorname{ann}(M / N)$. Then, $r(m+N)=$ $r^{2}(m+N)=N=\left(r-r^{2}\right)(m+N)=\left(r-r^{2}\right) N$. Because $\left(r-r^{2}\right) N \subseteq$ $\left(r-r^{2}\right)(m+N)=N$, hence for every arbitrary $m \in M$ and $\dot{n} \in N$, there exists $n \in N$, such that $\left(r-r^{2}\right) n^{\prime}=\left(r-r^{2}\right)(m+n)$. So $\left(r-r^{2}\right)(m+\tilde{n})$ $=0$, for $\tilde{n}=n-n \in N$. Then $(m+\tilde{n})=0$ or $\left(r-r^{2}\right) \in(0: m+\check{n})$. But $(m+\check{n}) \neq 0$ (if not $m \in N$, but $N$ is a proper submodule and $m \in M$ is arbitrary, so $(m+\tilde{n}) \neq 0)$, hence $\left(r-r^{2}\right) \in(0: m+\check{n})$. But $M$ is prime and faithful, so $\left(r-r^{2}\right) \in(0: m+\dddot{n})=(0: R(m+\dddot{n}))=(0: M)=0$, and it implies that $r=r^{2}$ and so $(N: M)$ is a Boolean ideal.
(ii) By Theorem 1, we have $(N: M)^{2}=(N: M)(N: M)=(N: M)$ $\cap(N: M)=(N: M)$, hence the ideal $(N: M)$ is idempotent.

Let $N$ and $K$ be submodules of a multiplication $R$-module $M$ with $N=I_{1} M$ and $K=I_{2} M$, for some ideals $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ of $R$. The product of $N$ and $K$ denoted by $N K$ is defined by $N K=I_{1} I_{2} M$. Then by [2, Theorem 3.4], the product of $N$ and $K$ is independent of presentation of $N$ and $K$. Clearly, $N K$ is a submodule of $M$ and $N K \subseteq N \cap K$. Now we have the following results:

Corollary 3. Let $M$ be a prime multiplication faithful $R$-module. Then every proper weakly pure submodule of $M$ is idempotent and in this case, if $N$ is a proper weakly pure submodule of $M$, then $N=(N: M) N$.

Proof. Let $N$ be a proper weakly pure submodule of $M$. Then by Theorem 2, $N^{2}=(N: M)^{2} M=(N: M) M=N$. Also $N=(N: M)^{2} M$ $=(N: M) N$.

Corollary 4. Let $M$ be a prime multiplication faithful $R$-module and $0 \neq N$ be a proper weakly pure submodule of $M$. Then $\operatorname{ann}(N: M)=0$.

Proof. For every $x \in \operatorname{ann}(N: M)$, we have $x(N: M)=0$, hence $x N=x(N: M) N=0$, so that $x \in a n n N=a n n M=0$, hence $x=0$, so $\operatorname{ann}(N: M)=0$.

Theorem 5. Let $M$ be a prime multiplication faithful $R$-module and $0 \neq N$ be a proper weakly pure submodule of $M$. Then $N$ is weakly prime, if and only if it is a prime submodule of $M$.

Proof. Because every prime submodule is weakly prime, it is enough to show that if $N$ is weakly prime, then $N$ is prime. Assume that $0 \neq N$ is a proper weakly prime submodule of $M$ that is not prime. Then by [4, Theorem 9] and Theorem 2, we have $N=(N: M) M=(N: M)^{2} M=$ $(N: M) N=0$, which is a contradiction. Thus $N$ is prime.
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